

|   |   |  |  |
|---|---|--|--|
| <b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b> | <b>Application No.</b><br>10/526,335      | <b>Applicant(s)</b><br>CHEESERIGHT ET AL |  |
|   | <b>Examiner</b><br>KARLHEINZ R. SKOWRONEK | <b>Art Unit</b><br>1631                  |  |

  

**All Participants:**

(1) KARLHEINZ R. SKOWRONEK

(2) Ms. Heidi Boehlefeld

**Date of Interview:** 10 June 2010

**Type of Interview:**  
☒ Telephonic  
☐ Video Conference  
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant    ☐ Applicant's representative)

**Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated:** ☐ Yes    ☐ No  
 If Yes, provide a brief description: \_\_\_\_\_

**Part I.**  
**Rejection(s) discussed:**  
*The rejection under 35 USC 101*

**Claims discussed:**  
*1, 7, 13*

**Prior art documents discussed:**  
*None*

**Part II.**  
**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**  
*An potential examiners amendment was offered to address the remaining rejection under 35 USC 101. Ms. Boehlefeld agreed the amend was acceptable.*

**Part III.**

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

**Status of Application:** \_\_\_\_\_

(3) \_\_\_\_\_

(4) \_\_\_\_\_

**Time:** 4:30 pm

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)